

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

COMES NOW Plaintiff, Trevor Williams, complaining of Remington Arms Company, Inc., Defendant, and for cause of action would show the Court and the jury the following:

I.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. The jurisdiction of this Court attaches under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §1332, in that the amount in controversy exceeds, exclusive of interest and costs, the sum of \$75,000, and the parties are citizens of different states.
- 2. Jurisdiction in this case is founded on diversity of citizenship, and venue is proper in the Northern District of Texas under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) and (c). Here, there is only one Defendant, so all defendants reside in the same state. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a)(1). Further, for purposes of the federal venue statute, Remington is deemed to reside in any judicial district in

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT - Page 1

kl07050505 wpd

which it is subject to personal jurisdiction at the time the action is commenced. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c). Remington, through its distributors and retailers, currently sells its firearms products throughout the Northern Judicial District of Texas. Thus, Remington's contacts with the Northern District of Texas are continuous and systematic. Therefore, venue is proper in the Northern Judicial District of Texas.

II.

PARTIES

- Plaintiff Trevor Williams is a citizen of the State of Texas and resides in 3. Williamson County, Texas.
- 4. Defendant Remington Arms Company, Inc. (hereinafter "Remington") is a corporation foreign to the State of Texas being duly organized and incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware and having its principal place of business in North Carolina. At all times relevant to this action, Remington was doing business in the State of Texas by selling, manufacturing and distributing rifles through its distributors and sales force. Service of process on this defendant can be completed by serving its registered agent, The Corporation Trust Company, Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, DE 19801.

III.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

On March 14, 2004, at a ranch in Prowers County, Colorado, Matthew Hershey 5. was handling a Remington Model 710 when it suddenly and unexpectedly discharged and shot Trevor Williams. The trigger was not pulled or contacted in any manner, but instead the rifle

Case 3:05-cv-01383-D Document 1 Filed 07/14/05 Rage 3 of 8 PageID 3

fired as a result of defects in the Walker fire control system. The bullet from the rifle entered Trevor Williams' right hip, shattering the bone and piercing his sciatic nerve. This incident has caused Trevor to require numerous surgeries and, as a result, he has very limited use of his right leg, causing permanent pain, scarring, and disability.

- Defendant Remington is now engaged in the business of designing, manufacturing, 6. assembling, distributing and selling firearms, and in this regard did design, manufacture, distribute, sell, and place into the stream of commerce the Remington Model 710 bolt action rifle including the action, fire control system, and safety, bearing serial no. 71122640 (hereinafter "rifle"), knowing and expecting that said rifle would be used by consumers and around members of the general public.
- The Remington Model 710 bolt action rifle contains a dangerously defective 7. Walker fire control system which may fire without a trigger pull upon release of the safety, movement of the bolt, or when jarred or bumped.
- 8. The subject rifle was manufactured in June of 2003 by Remington, and it was sold at retail by Wal-Mart Supercenter, Store No. 467, in Denton, Texas on or about January, 2004.
- 9. Remington continues in the design, manufacture, distribution and sale of all Remington Arms product lines, including the Remington Model 710 bolt action rifle, without any significant changes.
- 10. Plaintiff is bringing this action to recover damages from Defendant arising from Trevor Williams' personal injuries caused by this incident. Plaintiff Trevor Williams' damages include past and future medical and related expenses, mental and physical pain and suffering,

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT - Page 3

loss of earnings, impaired earning capacity, permanent disability, disfigurement and other general and special damages in an amount to be determined by the jury at trial of this action.

IV.

COUNT I: STRICT LIABILITY

- 11. Defendant is strictly liable to Plaintiff for designing, manufacturing, and placing into the stream of commerce the Remington Model 710 bolt action rifle, which was unreasonably dangerous for its reasonably foreseeable uses because of the following design defects, which were a producing cause of the occurrence in question: The rifle in question has a propensity to unexpectedly discharge without pulling the trigger.
- 12. The Remington Model 710 bolt action rifle was in a defective and unreasonably dangerous condition because of Remington's failure to warn of the rifle's propensity to unexpectedly discharge without pulling the trigger.
- Plaintiff and Matthew Hershey had no knowledge of this defective condition 13. present in the rifle and had no reason to suspect it was unreasonably dangerous prior to the inadvertent discharge.
- 14. As a direct result of Remington's failure to warn of the rifle's propensity to unexpectedly discharge without pulling the trigger, Plaintiff has suffered and is entitled to recover the damages from Remington.

COUNT II: NEGLIGENCE

15. Defendant was negligent in the design, manufacture and marketing of the product in question. Defendant knew, or in the exercise of ordinary care should have known, that the

Remington Model 710 Rifle was defective and unreasonably dangerous to those persons likely to use the product for the purpose and in the manner for which it was intended to be used. Defendant was negligent in the particulars set forth in this and the preceding paragraph and such negligence was a proximate cause of the occurrence in question.

- 16. Defendant knew, or in the exercise of ordinary care should have known, of the means of equipping the rifle with an adequate fire control system, thereby preventing injury to Trevor Williams. Defendant, further, had actual knowledge of the means of designing such a product which would not fail in one or more of these ways. Notwithstanding this knowledge, Defendant failed to equip the product in question with an adequate five control system to prevent the injuries to Trevor Williams.
- 17. Defendant knew, or in the exercise of ordinary care should have known, of problems with such failures to its Model 710 Rifle and its other rifles but failed to notify or warn owners or the generic public prior to Trevor Williams' injuries.
- 18. Defendant owed Plaintiff the duty of reasonable care when it designed, manufactured, and marketed the product in question. Defendant violated its duty and was negligent in the particulars set forth above.
- 19. Each of the above-mentioned acts or omissions was a proximate cause of the injuries and damages to Plaintiff.

X.

DAMAGES AS TO PLAINTIFF

20. As a result of Defendant's acts and/or omissions, Plaintiff Trevor Williams has

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT - Page 5

kl07050505 wpd

experienced physical pain and suffering in the past and in all reasonable probability will sustain physical pain and suffering in the future.

- 21. Plaintiff Trevor Williams is a partial paraplegic, thereby causing extensive physical impairment, incapacity and disability in the past, and extensive physical impairment, incapacity and disability in the future.
- 22. Plaintiff Trevor Williams has incurred other pecuniary damages in the past and in reasonable probability will continue to suffer pecuniary loss in the future, including loss of earnings and earning capacity and the ability to conduct household tasks and other aspects of personal care and service.
- 23. Plaintiff Trevor Williams has suffered mental anguish in the past and in all reasonable probability will sustain mental anguish in the future.
- 24. Plaintiff Trevor Williams has incurred reasonable and necessary medical expenses in the past and based upon reasonable medical probability will incur reasonable and necessary medical expenses in the future.
- 25. The above and foregoing acts and/or omissions of Defendant has caused actual damages to Plaintiff in an amount in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court.

XIII.

CLAIM FOR PREJUDGMENT INTEREST

26. Plaintiff herein claims pre-interest in accordance with Texas law.

XIV.

JURY DEMAND

27. Plaintiff requests that a jury be convened to try the factual issues in this cause.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff respectfully prays that upon trial of this cause, this Honorable Court enter judgment for Plaintiff against Defendant for the damages requested herein, for cost of bringing this action, for interest from the date of the incident made the basis of this suit, and for such other relief as may appear to the Court to be proper.

Respectfully submitted,

LAW OFFICES OF WINDLE TURLEY, P.C.

Jeffrey W. Hightower, Jr.

State Bar No. 00793951

6440 North Central Expressway

1000 Turley Law Center

Dallas, Texas 75206

Telephone No. 214/691-4025

Telecopier No. 214/361-5802

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

	d the information continued by the address Conference to the CSEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE		led 07/14/05 R SHEET	rece of pleadings or other pape 174, is required for the use of the	rers as required by law, except as the Clerk of Court for the purpose
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Trevor Williams	U U JUL 1 4 200	b	DEFENDANTS Remington Arms Co		
ORIGIN (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Jeff W. Hightower,	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT OF NORTHERN DISTRICT OF STRICT OF STRICT OF NORTHERN DISTRICT OF Williamson Court Williamson Court (Court of the Court of the C	TEXAS OUT TEXAS	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Raying 15 C V 1 3 8 3 D) AW		
II. BASIS OF JURISD I US Government Plaintiff	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) ☐ 3 Federal Question (U S Government Not a Party)	((For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF	-
☐ 2 US Government Defendant			en of Another State	of Business In A	Another State
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	Γ (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	1	en or Subject of a greign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 362 Personal Injury 365 Personal Injury Med Malpractice Med Malp	EY	FEITURE/PENALTY 10 Agnculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws 40 R R & Truck 50 Ariline Regs. 60 Occupational Safety/Health 90 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 40 Railway Labor Act 90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Empl Ret Inc Secunty Act	322 Appeal 28 USC 158 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 425 USC 157 426 USC 157 427 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 820 Copyrights 820 Trademark 821 Trademark 822 USC 157 824 USC 157 825 USC 158 826 USC 158 827 USC 158 828 USC 158 829 USC 158 820 USC	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportonment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes Appeal to District
■ 🖾 1 Original 🗇 2 R	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a N Rrief description of cause. A rifle manufa	Reoperate filing (actured by fle in quest N D)	stated or 5 another special stated or 5 another special special special state of the special special state of the special spec	al statutes unless diversity) ensity to unexpectedly disc ut pulling the trigger and s CHECK YES only	Judge from Magistrate Judgment 28 USC \$1332 charge without pulling the hot Plaintiff in hip.
VIII. RELATED CASIF ANY	(See instructions). JUDGE		Exceeds \$75	DOCKET NUMBER	——————————————————————————————————————
July 8, 26 FOR OFFICE SE ONLY	signature of	UO	OF RECORD		

APPLYING IFP

AMOUNT ____

RECEIPT #_

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE